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Policy
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas City (Blue KC) will provide coverage for a vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib when it is determined to be medically necessary because the criteria shown below are met.

When Policy Topic is covered
Use of the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib is considered medically necessary in the treatment of progressive thoracic insufficiency syndrome due to rib and/or chest wall defects in infants/children between six months of age and skeletal maturity.

When Policy Topic is not covered
Use of the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib for all other conditions, including but not limited to the treatment of scoliosis in patients without thoracic insufficiency, is considered investigational.

Considerations
Skeletal maturity occurs at about age 14 for girls and age 16 for boys.

Given the complexity of these procedures and patients, implantation of this device should be performed in specialized centers. Preoperative evaluation requires input from pediatric orthopaedists, pulmonologist, and thoracic surgeon. In addition, preoperative evaluation of nutritional, cardiac and pulmonary function (when possible) is required.

Description of Procedure or Service
The vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) is a curved rod placed vertically in the chest that helps to shape the thoracic cavity. It is being evaluated for use in skeletally immature patients with thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS) and to slow or correct curve progression in pediatric patients with scoliosis without TIS.
Thoracic insufficiency occurs in a limited patient population; eg, the Boston center reported results on 31 children treated from 1999 to 2005. The natural history of progressive TIS is worsening pulmonary function and worsening pulmonary insufficiency.

Results from the series reported at different specialty centers demonstrate improvement and/or stabilization in key measures with use of this device in progressive TIS. This improvement is noted in measures related to thoracic structure (eg, Cobb angle for those with scoliosis), growth of the thoracic spine and lung volumes, and stable or improved ventilatory status. While pulmonary function testing is very difficult in these patients, 1 study does demonstrate an age-specific increase in forced vital capacity (FVC), and the studies report a final FVC in the range of 50% to 70% of predicted value.

Given the usual disease course of worsening thoracic volume and ventilatory status, the stabilization/improvement in these measures would be highly unlikely in the absence of the intervention. Taken together, these various outcome measures demonstrate the positive impact of this procedure.

Thus, this intervention may be considered medically necessary in children with progressive TIS due to rib and/or chest wall defects. Given the complexity of this procedure and the patient population, use of this device should be performed in specialized centers. Preoperative evaluation requires input from a pediatric orthopedist, pulmonologist, and thoracic surgeon. In addition, preoperative evaluation of nutritional, cardiac, and pulmonary function (when possible) is required.

The VEPTR is also being evaluated for curves greater than 45° in infants and juveniles without thoracic insufficiency. Limited data are available on the use of the VEPTR for early-onset scoliosis without thoracic insufficiency.

**Background**

Thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS) is the inability of the thorax to support normal respiration or lung growth. (1) The condition results from serious defects affecting the ribs or chest wall, such as severe scoliosis, rib fusion (which may accompany scoliosis), and various hypoplastic thorax syndromes, such as Jeune syndrome and Jarcho-Levin syndrome. Spine, chest, and lung growth are interdependent. While the coexistence of chest wall and spinal deformity is well-documented, this effect on lung growth is not completely understood.

Progressive TIS includes respiratory insufficiency, loss of chest wall mobility, worsening 3-dimensional thoracic deformity, and/or worsening pulmonary function tests. As a child grows, progressive thoracic deformity and rotation toward the concave side occurs with worsening respiratory compromise. This progression is often accompanied by a need for supplemental oxygen and can require mechanical ventilation. While spinal fusion is one approach to treatment, it may not be successful and also may limit growth (lengthening) of the spine.
The vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) is a curved rod placed vertically in the chest that helps to shape the thoracic cavity. It is positioned either between ribs or between the ribs and either the spine or pelvis. The device is designed to be expanded every 4 to 6 months as growth occurs and also to be replaced if necessary. Some patients require multiple devices.

**Regulatory Status**

A VEPTR initially received approval from FDA under a humanitarian device exemption for the treatment of TIS in skeletally immature patients. TIS is defined as the inability of the thorax to support normal respiration or lung growth. In 2014, FDA cleared the VEPTR through the 510(k) process. The VEPTR/VEPTR II device is indicated for skeletally immature patients with severe progressive spinal deformities and/or 3-dimensional deformity of the thorax associated with or at risk of TIS. This would include patients with progressive congenital, neuromuscular, idiopathic, or syndromic scoliosis.

For the purpose of identifying potential TIS patients, the categories in which TIS patients fall are as follows:
- Flail chest syndrome
- Rib fusion and scoliosis
- Hypoplastic thorax syndrome, including,
  - Jeune's syndrome
  - Achondroplasia
  - Jarcho-Levin syndrome
  - Ellis van Creveld syndrome

**Rationale**

This policy was created in 2007 and updated periodically using the MEDLINE database. The most recent review was performed through April 14, 2015.

Thoracic insufficiency occurs in a limited patient population, and the literature on use of the vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) consists, in general, of case series from single institutions. Some series are from specialized pediatric centers. No comparative trials have been identified.

**Thoracic Insufficiency Syndrome**

Data submitted to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) include an initial feasibility study involving 33 patients and a subsequent prospective study of 224 patients (214 with baseline data) at 7 study sites. Of these, 94 had rib fusion, 93 had hypoplastic thoracic syndrome, 46 had progressive scoliosis, and 14 had flail chest as a cause of their thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS). Three- and 5-year follow-up rates for the multicenter study were approximately 95%. Of the 247 patients enrolled in either study, 12 patients died (4.8%) and 2 withdrew. None of the deaths was determined by investigators to be device-related. Because standard pulmonary function testing was not possible for most of this population, an assisted ventilatory rating (AVR) was used to assess impact on respiratory status. The AVR ranged from 0 for unassisted breathing on room air to 4 for full-
time ventilatory support. In the multicenter prospective study, the AVR outcome improved or stabilized for 93% of the patients. Data were not reported for the number of patients who were no longer ventilator-dependent.

Campbell, the developer of the device, et al reported on 27 patients who had surgery for TIS and for whom at least 2 years of follow-up data were available; this series was based on 41 patients treated between 1990 and the acceptance of the paper. Entry criteria for this study were acceptance by pediatric general surgeon, pediatric pulmonologist, and pediatric orthopedist; age 6 months to skeletal maturity; progressive TIS; more than 10% reduction in height of the concave hemithorax; and 3 or more anomalous vertebrae, with 3 or more fused ribs at the apex of the deformity. Patients were followed up for an average of 3.2 (range, 2-12) years. Before surgery, the mean annual rate of progression was 15° per year (range, 2-50 years). Following surgery, the Cobb angle (of scoliosis) improved from 74° to a final value of 49°. Spine growth was at the rate of 0.8 cm per year. (Normal spinal growth is 0.6 cm/year for ages 5-10 years.) The final forced vital capacity (FVC) was 49% of predicted value in the 19 children who could complete pulmonary function tests. Preoperatively, 1 patient required continuous positive airway pressure, and 1 needed supplemental oxygen for ventilatory support at final follow-up. Another publication from this group reported average 40.7-month follow-up (range, 25-78 months) in 24 children with nonsyndromic congenital scoliosis. Twenty-three (95.8%) children had associated rib fusions, and the average age at surgery was 3.3 years (range, 0.7-12.5 years). With a mean of 5 expansion surgeries per patient (range, 1-10), the Cobb angle had improved by a mean of 8.9° and thoracic height improved by a mean of 3.41 cm. Eight of the patients (33%) had a total of 16 adverse events, all of which required surgical intervention.

In another series, Gadepalli et al examined growth and pulmonary function in 26 children who received a VEPTR between October 2006 and March 2010. The children underwent 29 insertions and 57 expansions, with an average of 3 surgeries per child. Each procedure required an average 0.97 days in the intensive care unit and 4.41 days in the hospital. The mean Cobb angle improved by 29% from 64.7° preoperatively to 46.1° postoperatively. Lung volumes measured by yearly thoracic computed tomography (CT) scans were similar when corrected for age. Pulmonary function tests were performed every 6 months in patients (n=12) who were not ventilator-dependent and could cooperate with the procedure. Pulmonary function tests showed no significant change from baseline to follow-up in percent predicted values for forced expiratory volume in 1 second (54.6 vs 51.8), FVC (58.1 vs 55.9), or residual volume (145.3 vs 105.6, all respectively). Reoperation was required for 14 complications, 4 for chest tube placement (pneumothorax), 1 for seroma drainage, 6 for hardware removal (for infection), and 3 for hardware repositioning (for dislodgement). Another 22 complications were treated nonoperatively.

Emans et al reported results on patients with TIS who underwent the procedure at Children’s Hospital in Boston from 1999 to 2005. Thirty-one patients with fused ribs and TIS were treated; 4 patients had prior spinal arthrodesis with continued
progression of deformity. Before surgery, all patients showed progressive spinal deformity, progressive chest deformity, or progressive hemithoracic constriction. The mean age was 4.2 years, and mean follow-up was 2.6 years (range, 0.5-5.4 years). A 3-member team selected patients for surgery; cardiac function was also evaluated preoperatively. Surgery was performed using the Campbell technique for VEPTR. Device lengthening was planned for every 4 to 6 months but often was longer due to intercurrent illness or difficulty with travel. The mean number of device lengthenings was 3.5 (range, 0-10). Six patients had device exchanges for growth. In 30 patients, the spinal deformity was controlled, and growth continued (1.2 cm/y) in the thoracic spine during treatment at rates similar to normal children. In this study, the final FVC was 73.5% of predicted levels. Preprocedure, 2 patients were on ventilators and 3 patients required oxygen; at final follow-up, 1 patient required oxygen. Lung volume (measured by CT scan in cubic centimeters) in the operated lung increased from 157 preoperatively to 326 at the final follow-up visit.

Motoyama et al from Children’s Hospital in Pittsburgh reported on follow-up of 10 patients with thoracic insufficiency with follow-up as long as 33 months.\(^8\) Using a special portable pulmonary function testing device, they reported on lung function in 10 children who had placement of VEPTR. In this population, the median age was 4.3 years (range, 1.8-9.8 years) at first test, and they followed patients an average of 22 months (range, 7-33 months). At baseline, FVC showed a moderate-to-severe decrease (69% of predicted), indicating the presence of significant restrictive lung defect. FVC increased significantly over time, with an average rate of 26.8% per year, similar to that of healthy children of comparative ages. In terms of percent-predicted values, FVC did not change significantly between the baseline and last test (70.3%), indicating that in most children studied, lung growth kept up with body growth.

A series of 22 patients from another Children’s Hospital was published in 2007.\(^9\)

There are a number of additional series, some discuss weight gain following use of VEPTR in thoracic insufficiency syndrome\(^10\) while others discuss early changes in pulmonary function.\(^11\)

**Scoliosis Without Thoracic Insufficiency Syndrome**

In 2011, White et al reported the off-label use of spine-to-spine VEPTR to treat spinal deformity in 14 children without chest wall abnormalities.\(^12\) The indications for the dual spine-to-spine rods were absence of a primary chest wall deformity, progression of spinal deformity to a Cobb angle of greater than 50°, and migration of a previously placed proximal rib anchor or of a prior non-VEPTR growing rod to the point of loss of stable fixation. At final follow-up (24-48 months), there was an improvement in the Cobb angle from 74° to 57°, an increase in T1-S1 height from 260 to 296 mm, and no significant change in kyphosis. Complications occurred in 6 of 14 patients (43%) and included 3 rod fractures in 2 patients, 3 superficial infections, and 1 case of prominent hardware that threatened skin integrity. As noted by the authors, while results are similar to those obtained with other growing rods, “the high complication rates, need for multiple procedures in
growing children, and small relative gains in radiographic parameters still challenge proof of efficacy of all such treatment methods.”

In 2014, treatment of congenital scoliosis with VEPTR (n=22) was compared with treatment with spinal fusion (n=27) or observation (n=184) based on a prospective registry. Function, pain, and mental health status were measured with the 22-item Scoliosis Research Society questionnaire. Compared with the observation group, the VEPTR group had higher total and image scores in the second and third visits and higher function scores between the third and fourth visits. Interpretation of this study is limited due to a number of confounding factors, including age at treatment, unknown comorbidities, and the rationale for the selection of treatment.

**Adverse Events**
The complications that occur with this device need to be considered by practitioners and families as they are discussing this procedure. Information on complications is summarized using data from the FDA review and the articles by Campbell and Emans. Up to 25% of patients may experience device migration, including rib erosion. However, there does not seem to be significant long-term consequences from this. Approximately 10% of patients had infection-related complications. Brachial plexus injury or thoracic outlet syndrome occurred in 1% to 7% of these series. Skin sloughing was reported in 4 patients (15%) in the study published by Campbell.

**Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**
A currently ongoing trial that might influence this policy is listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Key Trials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>NCT00689533* VEPTR Implantation to Treat Children With Early Onset Scoliosis Without Rib Abnormalities: A Prospective Multicenter Study</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Jan 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCT: national clinical trial.

**Summary of Evidence**
Thoracic insufficiency occurs in a limited patient population; eg, the Boston center reported results on 31 children treated from 1999 to 2005. The natural history of progressive thoracic insufficiency syndrome (TIS) is worsening pulmonary function and worsening pulmonary insufficiency.

Results from the series reported at different specialty centers demonstrate improvement and/or stabilization in key measures with use of this device in progressive TIS. This improvement is noted in measures related to thoracic structure (eg, Cobb angle for those with scoliosis), growth of the thoracic spine and lung volumes, and stable or improved ventilatory status. While pulmonary function testing is very difficult in these patients, 1 study does demonstrate an
age-specific increase in forced vital capacity (FVC), and the studies report a final FVC in the range of 50% to 70% of predicted value.

Given the usual disease course of worsening thoracic volume and ventilatory status, the stabilization/improvement in these measures would be highly unlikely in the absence of the intervention. Taken together, these various outcome measures demonstrate the positive impact of this procedure.

Thus, this intervention may be considered medically necessary in children with progressive TIS due to rib and/or chest wall defects. Given the complexity of this procedure and the patient population, use of this device should be performed in specialized centers. Preoperative evaluation requires input from a pediatric orthopedist, pulmonologist, and thoracic surgeon. In addition, preoperative evaluation of nutritional, cardiac, and pulmonary function (when possible) is required.

The vertical expandable prosthetic titanium rib (VEPTR) is also being evaluated for curves greater than 45° in infants and juveniles without thoracic insufficiency. Limited data are available on the use of the VEPTR for early-onset scoliosis without thoracic insufficiency.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements
No guidelines or statements were identified.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations
Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage
There is no national coverage determination (NCD). In the absence of an NCD, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.
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**Billing Coding/Physician Documentation Information**

There is no specific code for this procedure. The procedure would most likely be reported with the unlisted code 22899.

**ICD-10 Codes**

**M41.00-M41.9**  
Scoliosis code range

**Q76.3**  
Congenital scoliosis due to congenital bony malformation

**Q76.6**  
Other congenital malformations of ribs (includes congenital absence of rib and congenital fusion of ribs)

**Q77.2**  
Osteochondrodysplasia with defects of growth of tubular bones and spine; short rib syndrome (includes Asphyxiating thoracic dysplasia [Jeune])

**Q87.2**  
Congenital malformation syndromes predominantly involving limbs (includes VATER syndrome)

**Additional Policy Key Words**

N/A

**Policy Implementation/Update Information**

9/1/07  
New policy.

9/1/08  
No policy statement changes.

9/1/09  
No policy statement changes.

9/1/10  
No policy statement changes.

9/1/11  
No policy statement changes.

9/1/12  
No policy statement changes.

10/1/12  
Material added on treatment of scoliosis without thoracic insufficiency (considered investigational)

10/1/13  
No policy statement changes.

10/1/14  
No policy statement changes.
10/1/15  No policy statement changes.
10/1/16  No policy statement changes.

State and Federal mandates and health plan contract language, including specific provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. The medical policies contained herein are for informational purposes. The medical policies do not constitute medical advice or medical care. Treating health care providers are independent contractors and are neither employees nor agents Blue KC and are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment and medical advice. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue KC.